West Dunbartonshire

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

KPO1(a) - Time to issue a building warrant or amendment to warrant from receipt of application

KPO1(b) - Time to issue a first report

KPO1(c) - Time to issue a building or amendment from receipt of satisfactory information

**KPO2 - Compliance during construction** 

**KPO5 - Financial governance** 

KPO3, 4, 6, 7 - Customer Charter, Customer Survey, eBuilding Standards, Annual Performance Report

Totals of BWs, CCs, Certificates and Notices

Summary of KPOs (publish)

**Targets** 

	KPO1(A) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A BUILDING	WARRANT OR A		ARRANT
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	Total number that utilised customer agreements	Total number of working days for total number of BWs and amendments issued	Average time per BW (Working Days)
	0 - £10,000	37	1	1925	52.03
	£10,001 - £50,000	27	0	3128	
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	13	0	1502	115.54
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	1	661	330.50
	£1,000,001 and above	1	0	70	70.00
	0 - £10,000	9	0	250	27.78
	£10,001 - £50,000	5	0	547	109.40
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	6	0	554	92.33
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	0	42	42.00
	£1,000,001 and above	0	0	0	0.00
		-			
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	80	2		
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	21	0	1393	66.33
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	101	2	8679	85.93
Comments	Staff vacancies, special leave and extended response times. We have expect an improvement in performance of the state of t	ave recruited a new su			
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	46	1	2175	47.28
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	32	0	3675	114.84
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	19	0	2056	108.21
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	3	1	703	234.33
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	1	0	70	70.00

West Duribartorisinie											
	KPO1(B) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A FIRST R	EPORT (AND BUIL			SSUED WITHOUT	A FIRST REPORT)				
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Number of first reports issued	No. of first reports issued within 15 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 15 days and within 20 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 20 days and within 35 days	more than 35	% within 15 days	% more than 15 days and within 20 days	% more than 20 days and within 35 days	% more than 35 days	% check (should be nearly 100%)
	0 - £10,000	32	8	7	11	6	25.00%	21.88%	34.38%	18.75%	100.01%
	£10,001 - £50,000	19	1	2	12	4	5.26%	10.53%	63.16%	21.05%	100.00%
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	10	1	1	6	2	10.00%	10.00%	60.00%	20.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	3	1	1	1	0	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	99.99%
	0 - £10,000	6	1	2	2	1	16.67%	33.33%	33.33%	16.67%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	6	2	1	2	1	33.33%	16.67%	33.33%	16.67%	100.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	5	2	0	3	0	40.00%	0.00%	60.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	0	1	1	0	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	1	0	1	0	0	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	64	11	11	30	12	17.19%	17.19%	46.88%	18.75%	100.01%
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	20	5	5	8	2	25.00%	25.00%	40.00%	10.00%	
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	84	16	16	38	14	19.05%	19.05%	45.24%	16.67%	100.01%
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes	Staff vacancies, special leave an improvement in performance in		sed complexity and n	umber of applications	have all led to extend	ed response times. W	e have recruited a nev	surveyor and leave h	nas settled to more no	ormal levels, so we wo	uld expect an
Provide main reasons why first report targets not met	As a small team, any absence or	vacancy has a greate	r impact, with less sta	aff able to share the w	orkload.						

Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	38	9	9	13	7	23.68%	23.68%	34.21%	18.42%	99.99%
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	25	3	3	14	5	12.00%	12.00%	56.00%	20.00%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	15	3	1	9	2	20.00%	6.67%	60.00%	13.33%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	0	1	1	0	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	4	1	2	1	0	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	100.00%

west Dunbartonshire											
	KPO1(C) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A BUILDIN	G WARRANT OR A			OR SUBSEQUENT	REPORT) FROM F	RECEIPT OF SATIS	FACTORY INFORI	MATION	
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	No. of BWs and amendments issued within 6 days of receipt of satisfactory information		amendments issued in more than 10 days and within 15 days of receipt of satisfactory	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 15 days of receipt of satisfactory information	% within 6 days	days and within 10 days	15 days	days	% check (should be nearly 100%)
	0 - £10,000	20	9	0	3	8	45.00%	0.00%	15.00%	40.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	27	9	4	6	8	33.33%	14.81%	22.22%	29.63%	99.99%
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	13	4	3	2	4	30.77%	23.08%	15.38%	30.77%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	0	2	0	0	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	1	0	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	0 - £10,000	6	5	1	0	0	83.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	4	1	1	0	2	25.00%	25.00%	0.00%	50.00%	100.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	5	1	2	2	0	20.00%	40.00%	40.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	63	22	9	12	20	34.92%	14.29%	19.05%	31.75%	100.01%
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	15	7	4	2	2	46.67%	26.67%	13.33%	13.33%	100.00%
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	78	29	13	14	22	37.18%	16.67%	17.95%	28.21%	100.01%
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes	Staff vacancies, special leave an improvement in performance in	d annual leave, increa									
Provide main reasons why targets not met	As a small team, any absence or	vacancy has a greate	r impact, with less sta	aff able to share the w	orkload.						
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10.000	26	14	1	3	8	53.85%	3.85%	11.54%	30.77%	100.01%
	£10,001 - £50,000	31	10	5	6	10		16.13%	19.35%	32.26%	100.00%
	£50.001 - £250.000	18		5	4	4	27.78%	27.78%	22.22%	22.22%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	2	0	2	0		0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£1.000.001 and above	1	0	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
				<u> </u>		Ŭ	2.0070	3.0070	. 55.0070	2.0070	.00.0070

Troot Builbuitorioriii o	KPO2 - COMPLIANCE DURI	NG CONSTRUCTIO	N	PO2 - COMPLIANCE DURING CONSTRUCTION												
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Number of CCNPs for "accepted" completion certificates	Number of CCNPs fully achieved (by relevant person and verifier)	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"	% of CCNPs fully achieved for "accepted" (by relevant person and verifier) completion certificates	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"								
	0 - £10,000	8	2	2	8		25.00%	100.00%								
	£10,001 - £50,000	14	7	7	14	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%								
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	4	2	2	4	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%								
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%								
	£1,000,001 and above	30	30	30	30	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%								
	0 - £10,000	2	1	1	2	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%								
	£10,001 - £50,000	0	0	0	0		0.00%	0.00%								
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	3	1	1	3	33.33%	33.33%	100.00%								
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	1	1	1	1	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%								
	£1,000,001 and above	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%								
	,			-												
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	56	41	41	56	73.21%	73.21%	100.00%								
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	6	3	3	6	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%								
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	6	3	3	6	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%								
Sub total  ALL CATEGORIES	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	62														
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP	Total  S Verifier not informed of key stage	62 e.	44	44	62	70.97%	70.97%	100.00% 100.00% ection.								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier	Total  S Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor	e. tance of notifying the v	44 verifier, doesn't apprec	44 iate the correct time to	call us, the works pro	70.97%	70.97%	100.00%								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identifie through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans up	e.  tance of notifying the viriance of the staff s	verifier, doesn't apprec	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97%	70.97% back for a verifier insp	100.00% ection.								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identife through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, un fully complete.	e.  tance of notifying the viriance of the staff s	verifier, doesn't apprec	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97%	70.97% back for a verifier insp	100.00% ection.								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identifie through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, un	e.  tance of notifying the viriance of the staff s	verifier, doesn't apprec	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97%	70.97% back for a verifier insp	100.00% ection.								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identife through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, unfully complete.  N/A	e.  tance of notifying the virial impacted by the staff stable to produce evden	verifier, doesn't apprecent shortages and able to recent that construction is	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97% ogress too fast to hold ertification, asking for o	70.97% back for a verifier insp	ection.								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identife through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, unfully complete.  N/A  0 - £10,000	e.  tance of notifying the virial impacted by the staff shable to produce evden	verifier, doesn't apprecent shortages and able to recent that construction is	ate the correct time to naintain required inspet to the approved plans,	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97% ogress too fast to hold ertification, asking for o	70.97% back for a verifier inspection	ection.  before sworks are  100.00%								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identifie through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, unfully complete.  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000	e.  tance of notifying the virial impacted by the staff shable to produce evden	verifier, doesn't apprecent of the shortages and able to rece that construction is	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe to the approved plans,	call us, the works proceed ctions.  missing / incorrect ceeding 10 14	70.97%  ogress too fast to hold  ertification, asking for of the second	back for a verifier inspection  commpletion inspection  30.00%  50.00%	100.00% ection.  before sworks are  100.00% 100.00%								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identife through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total  Value bands Sub total	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, un fully complete.  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000  £50,001 - £250,000	e.  tance of notifying the virial impacted by the staff shable to produce evden	verifier, doesn't apprecent of the shortages and able to rece that construction is	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe to the approved plans,  3 7 3	call us, the works proceed ctions.	70.97%  ogress too fast to hold  ertification, asking for of the second	back for a verifier inspection  commpletion inspection  30.00%  50.00%  42.86%	100.00% ection.  before sworks are  100.00% 100.00% 100.00%								
ALL CATEGORIES  Main reasons why CCNP were not full achieved  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNP were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technica non-compliance identifie through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total	Total  Verifier not informed of key stage  RP doesn't understand the impor  Our site inspector has been less  Not following approved plans, unfully complete.  N/A  0 - £10,000  £10,001 - £50,000	e.  tance of notifying the virial impacted by the staff shable to produce evden	verifier, doesn't apprect shortages and able to rece that construction is	44 iate the correct time to naintain required inspe to the approved plans,	call us, the works proceed ctions.  missing / incorrect ceeding 10 14	70.97%  ogress too fast to hold  ertification, asking for of the second	back for a verifier inspection  commpletion inspection  30.00%  50.00%	100.00% ection.  before sworks are  100.00% 100.00%								

KPO5 - MAINTAIN FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE	
% FEE INCOME / VERIFICATION (STAFF) COSTS	129.76%
% FEE INCOME / VERIFICATION (ALL) COSTS	93.91%
Other comments (e.g. significant variations between verification fee income and verification costs	N/A

KPO3 - COMMIT TO THE BUILDING STANDARDS CUSTOMER CHARTER									
Customer Charter published on verifier website	Published prominently								
Customer Charter web address on verifier website	https://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-								
	standards/building-standards-service-performance/								
Customer Charter reviewed since last reporting period	No								
Number of cases referred to LABSS Dispute Resolution Process	0								
Number of cases referred to LA Complaints formal procedure	0								
Number of cases referred to SG Verifier Performance Reporting Service for Customers	0								
Number of SG Verifier Performance Reporting Service cases responded to by verifier within 5 days	0								

KPO4 - UNDERSTAND AND RESPOND TO THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE									
Satisfaction rating from the last National Customer Survey	7								
Details of any accredited customer service awards (for example Customer Service Excellence)	N/A								

KPO6 - COMMIT TO BUILDING STANDARDS	
eBS published on verifier website	Published prominently
eBS published weblink	http://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-
	standards/building-warrant/
Number of applications for building warrant or amendment submitted through SG eBS system	94
Number of completion certificates submitted through SG eBS system	100
Number of other forms submitted through SG eBS system	279
Building warrant or amendment process - plan checking done electronically	Yes
Building warrant or amendment process - building warrant or amendment issued electronically	Yes
Building warrant or amendment process - inspection done electronically	No
Building warrant or amendment process - completion certificate accepted electronically	Yes
Main reasons for significant changes in digital processing	N/A

KPO7 - COMMIT TO OBJECTIVES OUTLINED IN THE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT									
Verifier Performance Report published on verifier website	Published prominently								
Verifier Performance Report published weblink	https://www.west-dunbarton.gov.uk/planning-building-standards/building-								
	standards/building-standards-service-performance/								
Verifier Performance Report reviewed since last reporting period	No								
Verifier Performance Report includes performance data	Includes all performance data								

OVERVIEW TOTALS OF BWs, CCs, CERTIFICATION A	AND ENFORCEMENT	
Building Warrants		
applications	Total no. of all BW applications (including "late" applications)	63
applications	"Late" BW applications (as included above)	0
applications	"Staged" BW applications (as included above)	4
decisions	No. of BW approved	83
decisions	No. of BW refused	2
amendments - applications	No. of amendment to BW applications	21
amendments - applications	Amendments to "staged" BW applications (as included above)	2
BW amendments - decisions	No. of amendment to BW applications approved	18
BW amendments - decisions	No. of amendment to BW applications refused	0
Comments	N/A	<u> </u>
Completion Certificates		
submissions	Total no. of CC submissions (including CCs where no BW was obtained)	103
submissions	Total no. of CC submissions where no BW was obtained (as included above)	4
decisions	No. of CC accepted	66
decisions	No. of BW rejected	35
Comments	N/A	
Certification		
Design scheme (building structures)	No. of certificates of design provided	17
Design scheme (energy - domestic)	No. of certificates of design provided	0
Design scheme (energy - non-domestic)	No. of certificates of design provided	0
Construction scheme (electrical installations)	No. of certificates of construction provided	0
Construction scheme (drainage, heating and plumbing)	No. of certificates of construction provided	0
Comments	N/A	
Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs)		
Domestic	No. of copy certificates received	18
Non-domestic	No. of copy certificates received	0
Comments	N/A	
Statements of Sustainability		
Domestic - Bronze	No. of copy certificates received	0
Domestic - Bronze Active	No. of copy certificates received	20
Domestic - Silver	No. of copy certificates received	0
Domestic - Silver Active	No. of copy certificates received	
		1
Domestic - Gold	No. of copy certificates received	
Non-domestic - Bronze	No. of copy certificates received No. of copy certificates received	0
		0
Non-domestic - Bronze	No. of copy certificates received	0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active	No. of copy certificates received No. of copy certificates received	0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver	No. of copy certificates received No. of copy certificates received No. of copy certificates received	1 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active	No. of copy certificates received	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold	No. of copy certificates received	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments	No. of copy certificates received	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries	No. of copy certificates received N/A	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic	No. of copy certificates received N/A No. of summaries received	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments	No. of copy certificates received N/A No. of summaries received	0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement Section 27 - enforcement	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served No. of notices served No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement Section 27 - enforcement Section 28 - defective building	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement Section 27 - enforcement Section 28 - defective building Section 29 - dangerous building emergency action	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served How many instances LA has taken action	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement Section 27 - enforcement Section 28 - defective building Section 29 - dangerous building Section 30 - dangerous building	No. of copy certificates received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served No. of notices served No. of notices served No. of notices served How many instances LA has taken action No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
Non-domestic - Bronze Non-domestic - Bronze Active Non-domestic - Silver Non-domestic - Silver Active Non-domestic - Gold Comments Fire Safety Design Summaries Non-domestic Comments Enforcement Section 25 - compliance Section 26 - continuing requirement Section 27 - enforcement Section 28 - defective building Section 29 - dangerous building emergency action Section 30 - dangerous building Procurator fiscal	No. of copy certificates received No. of summaries received N/A  No. of summaries received N/A  No. of notices served No. of notices served No. of notices served How many instances LA has taken action No. of notices served No. of notices served No. of notices served	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1

# KEY PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES 2025-26 Q2 West Dunbartonshire

	SUMMARY O	F KPOs																		
					KP	01					KF	202	KPO3	KPO4		KPO5		KPO6	KP	207
CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)		Average number of days from receipt of a valid application to granting a BW or amendment	% of first reports issued within 15 days	% of first reports issued in more than 15 days and within 20 days	% of first reports issued in more than 20 days and within 35 days	% of first reports issued in more than 35 days	amendments issued within 6 days from	issued in more than 6 and within 10 days from	issued in more than 10 and within 15 days from receipt of all	amendments issued in	Number of CCNPs for "accepted"	% of CCNPs fully achieved for "accepted" completion certificates	published prominently on the	Overall customer satisfaction rating out of 10	Verification fee income		% fee income against verification (staff) costs	Details of eBuilding Standards are published prominently on the verifier's website	Annual performance report published prominently on website with version control (reviewed at least quarterly)	Annual performance report includes performance data and requirements under KPO3, 4, 5 and 6
DOMESTIC	80	91.08	17.19%	17.19%	46.88%	18.75%	34.92%	14.29%	19.05%	31.75%	56	73.21%	b						,	
NON-DOMESTIC	21	66.33	25.00%	25.00%	40.00%	10.00%	46.67%	26.67%	13.33%	13.33%	6	50.00%	b							
Total	101	85.93	19.05%	19.05%	45.24%	16.67%	37.18%	16.67%	17.95%	28.21%	62	70.97%	Published prominently (no review)	7	£106,295.00	£81,914.00	129.76%	Published prominently	Published prominently (no review)	
0 - £10,000	46	47.28	23.68%	23.68%	34.21%	18.42%	53.85%	3.85%	11.54%	30.77%	10	30.00%	5							
£10,001 - £50,000	32	114.84	12.00%	12.00%	56.00%	20.00%	32.26%	16.13%	19.35%	32.26%	14	50.00%	b							
£50,001 - £250,000	19	108.21	20.00%	6.67%	60.00%	13.33%	27.78%	27.78%	22.22%	22.22%	7	42.86%	b							
£250,001 - £1,000,000	3	234.33	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1	100.00%	b							
£1,000,001 and above	1	70.00	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	30	100.00%	b							

TARGETS	1.1	1.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	5.1	6.1	6.2	7.1	7.2
	95% of first	90% of building	National customer	95% of BSD	Minimum overall	Building	Details of	75% of each key	Annual	Annual
	reports (for	warrants and	charter is	requests for	average	standards	eBuilding	building warrant	performance	performance
			•	information on a	satisfaction rating	verification fee	Standards are	•	report published	report to include
	and amendments)				of 7.5 out of 10	income to cover		being done	,	performance data
		'		Performance			prominenently on	electronically		in line with KPOs
	,	,	•	Reporting Service			the verifier's	(Plan checking;		and associated
	reports (including			for Customers'		service costs	website.	BWs and	,	targets (annually
			detailing reviews	case responded		(staff costs plus		amendments (and	quarterly).	covering previous
		and amendments	`	to by verifier		30%).		plans) issue;		year e.g. April 2016 – March
		(not including BWs and	quarterly).	within 5 days.				Verification during construction; CC		2017).
		amendments						acceptance)		2017).
		issued without a						accoptance)		
		first report).								
		,								
Local Authority										
				No cases						
			Published	referred to BSD			Published		Published	Includes all
West Dunbartonshire	38.10%	53.85%	prominently	'Reporting	7.0	129.76%	prominently	3 of 4 done	prominently	performance
			(no review)	Service'			p. 2		(no review)	data